

DEVELOPMENT OF AN EMISSIONS MODEL TO ESTIMATE METHANE FROM ENTERIC FERMENTATION IN CATTLE

Mangino, JM, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Peterson, KM and Jacobs, HM, ICF Consulting

ABSTRACT

Cattle are the largest contributing livestock species to enteric fermentation emissions in the United States, accounting for over 95 percent of the methane emissions from this source. These emissions account for almost 20 percent of the total anthropogenic methane emissions in the United States. In order to more accurately characterize the emissions from this source, EPA has recently focused its attention on adopting and improving the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Tier 2 method for estimating methane emissions from cattle. In the latest versions of the U.S. inventory of greenhouse gases, regional diet characterizations were used to implement the IPCC Tier 2 net energy and methane emission equations in a computer model that tracks and estimates emissions from cattle population sub-categories on a regional and temporal basis. The most significant modification to the IPCC Tier 2 method that EPA made was to model cattle sub-populations on a monthly basis. Factors such as weight gain, birth rates, pregnancy, feedlot placements, and slaughter rates were tracked to characterize the U.S. cattle population in greater detail than in previous inventories, in which only end-of-year population data were used. This modification is a significant refinement of the basic IPCC Tier 2 method, in that the energy requirements of the cattle sub-populations are tracked through their development stages across the year.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Methane (CH₄) is produced as part of the normal digestive process in animals. During digestion, microbes resident in an animal's digestive system ferment food consumed by the animal. This microbial fermentation process, referred to as enteric fermentation, produces methane as a by-product, which can be exhaled or eructated by the animal. The amount of methane produced and excreted by an individual animal depends primarily upon the animal's digestive system (e.g., ruminant vs. non-ruminant), and the amount and type of feed it consumes.

In addition to the type of digestive system, an animal's feed quality and feed intake also affects methane emissions. In general, a lower feed quality and a higher feed intake lead to higher methane emissions. Feed intake is positively related to animal size, growth rate, and production (e.g., milk production, wool growth, pregnancy, or work). Therefore, feed intake varies among animal types as well as among different management practices for individual animal types.

Cattle, including beef and dairy, are the largest contributing livestock species to enteric fermentation emissions in the U.S., accounting for over 95 percent

of the methane emissions from this source. In preparing the *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks* (EPA, 2002), EPA uses a spreadsheet-based model, called the Cattle Enteric Fermentation Model (CEFM), to calculate methane emissions from cattle enteric fermentation based on a 'rolling herd' population characterization that tracks cattle energy demand through different growth stages. These energy demands are then correlated with methane production based on diet and animal characteristics.

The CEFM is based on the IPCC Good Practice Guidance Tier 2 approach (IPCC, 2000). The fundamental energy utilization equations are from the IPCC guidance, however a significant modification to the IPCC Tier 2 method made by EPA was to model cattle sub-populations on a monthly basis instead of an annual basis. Factors such as weight gain, birth rates, pregnancy, feedlot placements, and slaughter rates are tracked to characterize the U.S. cattle population. This modification is a significant refinement to the basic IPCC Tier 2 method, in that the energy requirements of the cattle sub-populations are tracked through their development stages across the year, instead of applied to end-of-year standing population totals.

2.0 METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK AND RESULTS

The CEFM applies the IPCC enhanced livestock characterization equations (IPCC, 2000) in conjunction with a specialized population transition matrix to first determine the gross energy (GE) intake of each cattle subcategory and then the subsequent emissions. The following sections describe the processing steps in the model.

2.1 CATTLE POPULATION CHARACTERIZATION

In order to address the changes in the cattle population that occur through the course of a year, the CEFM uses a Cattle Population Transition Matrix (population matrix) that provides detailed population input data for the model. The statistics necessary for determining initial conditions and composition of a cattle population include data on beginning and end-of-year population numbers, monthly births and weight gain for calves, set target weights by age for older animals, number of animals imported into a population, pregnancy rates, milk production, natural death rates, and number of animals in varying weight categories that are placed in feedlots. The initial age composition of the population is based on ages of all animals in January of the current modeled year and age distribution in January of the following year. The population matrix distinguishes cattle by males, females, beef, and dairy and tracks their growth in life cycle stages by month from birth to slaughter. See Table 1 for a complete list of the cattle subcategories used in the model.

To determine beginning and end-of-year cattle populations, the population matrix uses the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) population census by state for cattle and livestock slaughter (USDA, 2002a, 2002b). The population matrix uses beginning and end-of-year USDA population data along with movement between categories to "back-calculate" monthly population estimates. Modeled cattle populations

in the matrix change based on animal “graduation” to different population types (e.g., replacements become dairy cows in dairy cattle populations, or beef or heifer stockers become feedlot animals in beef cattle populations), natural death rates, rates at which animals are sent to feedlots in preparation for slaughter, and slaughter rates.

To track population changes logically by month, the matrix follows lifecycles of “cohorts” of cattle divided by age group. Cohort populations by month are determined by births or “graduation” to a cohort (e.g., a calf can become a replacement at the age of seven months) and cattle that die, are slaughtered, or move to a new category. Birth rates are assumed to be constant for dairy cows. The percentage of beef versus dairy calves born is determined by data on calving percentages combined with data on total numbers of dairy cows (remaining calves are beef calves). The population matrix assumes that 50 percent of calves born are male and 50 percent are female.

As individuals within each cohort of cattle graduate to different animal types throughout a year, the model tracks weight gain and weights by month. The weight variable is an important component of the GE calculations to determine emission factors. The monthly rate of weight gain is determined by identifying the target weight for animals and the number of months for animals to reach that target weight. As weight gain over time from birth for each cohort is tracked, a weight matrix for animals at current ages is produced. Weight is assumed to remain constant for mature animals. Weight gain in feedlots is based on the special diets that cattle receive in preparation for slaughter. The length of time that cattle remain on finishing diets is determined by target slaughter weight of animals, starting weight when animals are placed in feedlots, daily weight gain, and slaughter statistics from USDA NASS.

Another important characteristic in determining GE is the number of pregnant and lactating cows within a cattle population. Lactation data are based on the percentage of cows that give birth each month, multiplied by annual milk production estimates in pounds per animal in a given region. The monthly percentage of pregnant beef and dairy cows is estimated using National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) data on the percentage of cows born monthly and then back-calculated to the month of conception based on nine-month pregnancy periods.

2.2 CATTLE DIET CHARACTERIZATION

Cattle use feed energy for maintenance, growth, and production. Maintenance energy is defined as the amount of energy required for an animal’s survival; all remaining energy contributes to growth and production, such as weight gain, lactation, or growth of a fetus. The enteric emissions model characterizes diets separately for dairy, feedlot, and grazing beef animals. Diet types include rangeland, pasture, supplements, and high-energy diets and are modeled for seven regions within the United States in the CEFM. Digestible Energy (DE) values are calculated for each diet type and represent the percent of GE intake of the feed that is digestible to the animal.

Initial data on dairy cow diets were collected through interviews with dairy experts in the fifteen highest-producing dairy states. For all other states, data from the nearest of the fifteen states were used and extrapolated to representative regional diets. Data from dairy experts included the types of feeds and proportions that constitute the total mixed ration (TMR) of feed, and constituents and proportions of grazing diets for dairy cattle. Experts also provided data on the amount of time animals spent grazing and the percentage of the population that grazed during this time period. DE and methane yield (Y_m) values for dairy cows were then estimated using a model (Donovan and Baldwin, 1999) that represents physiological processes in the ruminant animals. The three major categories of input required by the model are animal description (e.g., cattle type, mature weight), animal performance (e.g., initial and final weight, age at start of period), and feed characteristics (e.g., chemical composition, habitat, grain or forage).

To calculate the DE values for grazing beef cattle, diet descriptions obtained from the National Resource Council's publication of beef cattle nutrient requirements (NRC, 2000) were used to estimate weighted DE values for a combination of forage only and supplemented diets. Where DE values were not available for specific feed types, total digestible nutrients (TDN) as a percent of dry matter (DM) intake was used as a proxy for DE as it is essentially the same as the DE value. For forage diets, two separate regional DE values were used to account for the generally lower forage quality of rangeland pasture in the western U.S. as compared to other regions of the country.

Feedlot cattle diet characteristics were determined based on recommendations and input from feedlot nutrient experts. Feedlot diets are highly specialized to achieve maximum growth and production of the animals. Experts provided data on step-up and finishing diet ingredients (Johnson, 1999).

Table 2 shows the 2001 model assumptions for DE in various U.S. regions.

2.3 EMISSIONS ESTIMATES

The CEFM employs the population matrix and diet characterization to estimate total methane emissions from cattle in three steps, which include: 1) derivation of GE; 2) calculation of an emission factor in kilograms of methane emitted per head per day, based on GE and Y_m , as shown in Equation 1; and 3) total annual emissions based on daily methane emission factors and monthly sub-populations of animals by type.

$$\text{Equation (1) DayEmit} = [\text{GE} \times Y_m] / [55.65 \text{ MJ/kg CH}_4]$$

where

DayEmit = emission factor (kg CH₄/head/day)
 GE = gross energy intake (MJ/head/day)
 Y_m = methane conversion rate which is the fraction of GE in feed converted to methane (percent)

2.3.1 GROSS ENERGY

Gross energy is a calculation of the amount of MegaJoules (MJ) produced daily by cattle based on energy maintenance, animal activity, weight gain, lactation, and pregnancy. Also included in the calculations of GE is the net energy gained from weight loss, the ratio of available net energy to the DE consumed based on diet, and the net energy required for growth. The CEFM uses the fundamental GE calculation equations from the IPCC Tier 2 equations for enteric fermentation (IPCC, 2000). In the CEFM, GE is calculated for each cattle sub-category by month using the IPCC energy equations, DE values from the diet characterization, and the integrated population matrix values.

2.3.2 EMISSION FACTORS

Y_m values (the fraction of GE that is converted to methane) are multiplied by GE to determine emission factors for cattle, in kilograms of methane emitted per head of cattle per day. To better reflect the diet and feeding characteristics of cattle in the United States, EPA developed country-specific Y_m values as an alternative to the default values provided in the IPCC guidelines. Table 3 presents Y_m values by cattle type and region determined by modeling simulated cattle digestion of various feed types, published data, and statistics from experts (Johnson, 1999 and 2002; Donovan and Baldwin, 1999).

2.3.3 ESTIMATES OF TOTAL METHANE EMISSIONS

Emission factors by cattle type (in kilograms of methane per head per day) are multiplied by population data and number of days per month to produce total monthly methane emissions from cattle enteric fermentation by region. The model produces emission estimates in kilograms per year for dairy and beef cows, beef and dairy replacements, steer and heifer stockers, and steer and heifer feedlot animals. Model outputs are presented by region and animal type, age, and production phase. Table 4 presents 2001 methane emissions from U.S. cattle by animal type and region.

Figure 1 presents CEFM-modeled annual methane emission estimates for cattle in the United States from 1990 through 2001. From 1990 to 2001, emissions from cattle enteric fermentation have decreased by 2.2 percent (5,336 Gg methane compared to 5,218 Gg methane). Emissions have been decreasing since 1995, mainly due to decreasing populations of both beef and dairy cattle, improved feed quality for feedlot cattle, and improved productivity and feed quality for dairy cows. Looking more specifically at feedlot cattle during this time period, although average annual populations of cattle in feedlots increased, total emissions from feedlot cattle decreased due to improvements in feed quality that resulted in lower emission factors. In the dairy industry, cow populations in the United States have been decreasing over the last decade, although total milk production has increased as a result of the increase in milk production per cow. The CEFM factor calculations are sensitive to the effects of the increase in dairy cow diet quality and the increase in milk production (which increases the feed intake of the animal), with the net effect being a general decrease in emissions for this cattle sub-group.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

Overall, the net effect of these methodological changes resulted in an approximate increase in annual CH₄ emissions from enteric fermentation of 7.3 Tg CO₂ Eq. (5.9 percent) from 1990 through 1998 as compared to the previous methodology based on static information. Most importantly, the CEFM allows the user to model changes in the cattle production industry, which can have a significant effect on the enteric fermentation emissions. Such changes can include improved diets, increased animal growth rates and size, increased milk production rates, and animal management practices.

While the CEFM has improved enteric fermentation estimates substantially, uncertainties still remain regarding certain aspects of the model. For example, the values for Y_m and DE reflect the diet characterizations that are assumed for each cattle group, within each region of the country. While these values attempt to reflect the general diet characteristics within each region, there is uncertainty associated with local variations in feed and in the way cattle feed intake is managed. Local management practices may also affect the ultimate energy requirements of the cattle in different phases of growth, which may not be entirely reflected in the energy coefficients that are represented in the base IPCC Tier 2 equations. Future improvements to the model will focus on better representation of these local variations.

4.0 REFERENCES

EPA. *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990-2000*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 430-R-02-003. April, 2002.

IPCC. *Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*, Chapter 4, IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme Technical Support Unit, Kanagawa, Japan, 2000. Also available at <<http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/gp/report.htm>>.

USDA. *Cattle*, U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service, Washington, D.C., February 1, 2002a. Also available at <<http://www.nass.usda.gov:81/ipedb/>>.

USDA. *Cattle*, U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Agricultural Statistics Service, Washington, D.C., January 25-June 21, 2002b. Also available at <<http://www.nass.usda.gov:81/ipedb/>>.

Donovan, K. and L. Baldwin. "Results of the AAMOLLY Model Runs for the Enteric Fermentation Model," University of California, Davis, 1999.

Donovan, K. 1999. University of California, Davis, *personal communication*.

Johnson, D. 1999. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, *personal communication*.

Johnson, D. 2002. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, *personal communication*.

NRC 2000. *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle: Seventh Revised Edition: Update 2000*, Table 11-1, Appendix Table 1. National Research Council.

Figure 1. 1999-2001 methane emissions from cattle in the United States (Gg)




Table 1. Cattle sub-populations in CEFM

Dairy Cattle (age)	Weight Range (lbs)	Beef Cattle (age)	Weight Range (lbs)
Calves (0-6 months)	88 – 575	Calves (0-6 months)	88 – 575
Heifer replacements (7-23 months)	500 – 1,225	Heifer replacements (7-23 months)	500 – 1,078
Cows (mature)	1,350	Heifer and steer stockers (7-23 months)	500 – 1,000
		Animals in feedlots (7-23 months)	500 – 1,267
		Cows (mature)	1,172

Table 2. DE (%) by region of the United States, 2001

Cattle Type	California	West	Northern Great Plains	South-central	North-east	Mid-west	South-east
Dairy Cows	69	66	69	68	69	69	68
Dairy Repl. Heif.	66	66	66	64	68	66	66
Beef Cows	63	57	64	62	63	63	62
Beef Repl. Heif.	65	59	66	64	65	65	64
Steer Stockers	65	59	66	64	65	65	64
Heifer Stockers	65	59	66	64	65	65	64
Steer Step-Up	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
Heifer Step-Up	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
Feedlot	85	85	85	85	85	85	85

Table 3. Y_m (%) values by cattle type and region of the United States, 2001

Cattle Type	California	West	Northern Great Plains	South-central	North-east	Mid-west	South-east
Dairy Cows	4.8	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.6
Dairy Repl. Heif.	5.9	5.9	5.6	6.4	6.3	5.6	6.9
Beef Cows	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Beef Repl. Heif.	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Steer Stockers	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Heifer Stockers	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Steer Step-Up	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Heifer Step-Up	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Feedlot	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

Table 4. 2001 U.S. methane emission totals by cattle type (Gg)

Cattle Type	California	West	Northern Great Plains	South-central	North-east	Mid-west	South-east	Total
Dairy	208	192	60	65	234	426	97	1,282
Cows	166	164	50	55	192	348	79	1,055
Repl. 0-12 months	9	6	2	2	9	17	4	48
Repl. 12-24 months	33	21	8	7	33	62	14	179
Beef^b	94	348	1,197	932	56	547	544	3,936
Cows	58	236	682	654	38	351	473	2,492
Repl. 0-12 months	1	6	16	12	1	8	9	54
Repl. 12-24 months	5	24	60	45	4	28	34	200
Steer Stockers	14	35	152	81	6	67	17	372
Heifer Stockers	4	17	104	48	3	32	8	215
Feedlot	12	30	183	91	3	62	2	384
Bulls ^b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	219
Total	302	540	1,257	996	290	973	642	5,218

^a Totals may not sum due to independent rounding.

^b Bull statistics were only modeled at the national level and are not included in the regional totals.